Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/627,920	GUSTAVSSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Ganapathy Krishnan</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms. Naomi Biswas</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 May 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
G. Krzista		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature - if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Informed the attorney of record that

- 1. In claim 41 the range should be recited as 20-40 degrees celsius
- 2. Amend claim 39 to delete dependency from a higher numbered claim
- 3. Delete the dependency from claim 31 for lack of antecedent basis

The above amendments might put the pending claims in a condition for allowance. The attorney of record agreed to fax an amendment.